

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/038,142	Applicant(s) TABATABAI ET AL.		
	Examiner GREG BENGZON	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Art Unit 2444 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2444	
Art Unit 2444				

All Participants:

(1) GREG BENGZON.

(2) _____

Date of Interview: 3 December 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) Sheryl Sue Holloway Reg.No. 37850.

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed claim amendments to overcome USC 101 issues regarding Claims 31-60 reciting computer readable medium. Ms. Holloway agreed to claim amendments and indicated authorization for Examiner Amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Greg Bengzon/
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)